				1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK			
2		, ,		
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	20-CR-365(MKB)	
4	Plaintiff,			
5	-against-	United Sta Brooklyn,	ates Courthouse New York	
6	J&F INVESTIMENTOS SA,			
7	Defendant.	0ctober 14 10:30 o'c		
8		,		
9	TRANSCRIPT OF PLEADING BY VIDEOCONFERENCE			
10	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARGO K. BRODIE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE.			
11	APPEARANCES:			
12	For the Government: SETH D. DuCHARME Acting United States Attorney BY: DAVID GOPSTEIN Assistant United States Attorney 271 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, New York			
13				
14 15				
16	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE			
17	Criminal Division - Fraud Section BY: MICHAEL HARPER			
18		JOSEPH Mo	CHARLANE	
19	For the Defendant: QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN			
20		301 I Street, <i>l</i> ashington, D.		
21		BY: BEN A. O'N	NEIL, ESQ.	
22				
23	Court Reporter:	Charleane M. H 225 Cadman Pla	aza East	
24		Brooklyn, New [718] 613-2643		
25	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.			

THE CLERK: Criminal cause for pleading, docket number 20-CR-365.

As a reminder to everyone on the line, persons granted access to proceedings are reminded of the general prohibit against photographing, recording and rebroadcasting of court proceedings. Violation of these prohibitions may result in sanctions including removal of court issued media credentials, restricted entry to future hearings, denial of entry to future hearings or any other sanctions deemed necessary by the Court.

Counsel, starting with the government, please state your names for the record.

MR. GOPSTEIN: Good morning, Your Honor. David Gopstein for the government and I'm joined on the phone by my colleagues Michael Harper and Joseph McFarlane from the Fraud Section in DC.

THE COURT: Good morning to all of you.

MR. O'NEIL: Good morning, Your Honor. This is Ben O'Neil, Quinn Urquhart & Sullivan. With me --

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. O'NEIL: -- is a representative of my client -- with me is a representative of my client, Lucio Martins, who is the director of legal and compliance for J&F Investimentos.

THE COURT: Okay. Good morning, Mr. O'Neil, and good morning, Mr. Martins.

3 Mr. O'Neil, I understand that your client wishes to 1 2 waive indictment and plead guilty to an information. 3 correct? 4 MR. O'NEIL: That is correct, Your Honor. Before I proceed today, I need to first THE COURT: 5 make sure that Mr. Martins, who is appearing on behalf of the 6 7 defendant, is an officer or authorized employee of the 8 defendant. 9 MR. MARTINS: I am authorized. 10 MR. O'NEIL: He is, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Is the board of directors of the 12 defendant empowered to authorize him to waive indictment and 13 to enter a guilty plea to the charge in the information? 14 MR. MARTINS: Yes, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: And are you authorized by a valid 16 resolution to waive indictment and enter a guilty plea to the 17 charge? 18 MR. MARTINS: Yes, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Is the defendant financially able to pay a substantial fine that could be imposed for the charge to 20 21 which it is seeking to plead guilty? 22 MR. MARTINS: Yes, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Okay. I am satisfied that there is, in fact, a proper representative before the Court. 24 25 Now, because we are proceeding by video, I need to

make certain findings on the record.

Pursuant to the Coronavirus Aid Relief and Economic Securities Act, the CARES Act, Chief Judge Mauskopf has issued an Administrative Order finding that emergency conditions due to the COVID-19 virus outbreak and the need to protect public health and safety continue to materially affect the functioning of the courts in this district.

She acknowledges that while the court has begun to conduct in-person proceedings, judges and court staff as well as attorneys and staff of the United States Attorneys' Office for the Eastern District of New York and Federal Defenders of New York continue to work remotely. She notes that these conditions among others make it necessary for judges in this district to continue to conduct criminal proceedings remotely by videoconference or teleconference.

She concluded that it remains necessary to invoke the provisions of the CARES Act and specifically found that felony pleas under Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and felony sentences under Rule 32 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure cannot be conducted in person without seriously jeopardizing public health and safety.

The parties have requested that the waiver of indictment, the plea hearing and the sentencing of the defendant proceed by videoconference today.

Under the Administrative Order, felony pleas and

sentences may be conducted by video or telephone if the defendant consents and if the judge in each individual case finds for specific reasons that felony pleas or sentences in those cases cannot be further delayed without serious harm to the interest of justice.

Mr. Martins, do you consent to proceeding by video today?

MR. MARTINS: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: I find that due to the global COVID-19 pandemic, a felony plea pursuant to the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 11 and sentencing pursuant to Rule 32 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure cannot be conducted in person without seriously jeopardizing the health and safety of the public and considering the defendant's decision to waive indictment, plead guilty and be sentenced, and because of the nature of the plea and sentence in this case, indefinite delay of the plea or sentence may result in serious harm to the interest of justice. Therefore, with defendant's consent after consultation with counsel, I find that a plea hearing and sentencing proceeding by videoconference is warranted in this case.

MR. MARTINS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Martins, your attorney advises me that the defendant wishes to waive indictment and plead guilty to the information in which it is charged in this case.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6

These are serious decisions and I must be certain that the waiver of indictment and plea of guilty are being made with a full understanding of the defendant's rights and the consequences of those decisions. In addition to explaining the right defendant will be giving up by waiving indictment and pleading guilty, there are a number of questions that I must ask you as the representative of the defendant to establish that the defendant is acting knowingly and voluntarily. Mr. Martins, if you do not understand any of my questions, please say so and I will reword the question. MR. MARTINS: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: If at any time you would like to consult with your attorney for any reason, please let me know and I will give you as much time as you need to do so. I do need you to answer my questions under oath and so I am going to have Ms. Valentin administer the oath. THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand. THE COURT: Mr. Martins, you need to raise your right hand. (The defendant representative is duly sworn/affirmed by the Clerk of Court under penalties of perjury.) THE CLERK: Please state your name for the record. MR. MARTINS: Yes. THE COURT: Can you state your name, Mr. Martins,

7 your full name. 1 2 MR. MARTINS: Lucio Batista Martins. 3 THE COURT: Counsel, does your client need an 4 interpreter or is he fluent in English? 5 MR. O'NEIL: He's fluent in English, Your Honor. THE COURT: 6 Thank you. 7 Mr. Martins, were you and the board of directors of 8 defendant provided a copy of the information, that is the 9 document containing the charge made against the defendant in this case? 10 11 MR. MARTINS: Yes, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Have you and the board of directors 13 fully discussed the charge as well as the case in general with 14 your attorneys? 15 MR. MARTINS: Yes. Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Does the defendant understand that it 17 has been charged with conspiracy to violate the anti-bribery 18 provisions of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act? 19 MR. MARTINS: Yes, it does. 20 THE COURT: Instead of an indictment, this felony 21 charge has been brought by the filing of an information by the 22 Acting United States Attorney for the Eastern District of 23 New York and the Acting Chief of the Fraud Section of the 24 Criminal Division of the U.S. Department of Justice. 25 The defendant has a constitutional right to be

charged by an indictment returned by a grand jury but the defendant can waive that right and consent to being charged by information.

Unless the defendant waives indictment, the defendant may not be charged with a felony unless a grand jury finds by return of an indictment that there is probable cause to believe that a crime has been committed and that the defendant committed the crime. If the defendant does not waive indictment, the government must present a case to the grand jury and ask the grand jury to indict the defendant in order for the defendant to be charged with this felony offense.

Do you understand?

MR. MARTINS: Yes, Your Honor, we understand.

THE COURT: A grand jury is comprised of at least 16 and not more than 23 persons and at least 12 grand jurors must find that there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed the crime with which it is charged before the defendant may be indicted. The grand jury might or it might not indict the defendant. If, however, the defendant waives indictment by the grand jury, the case will proceed against the defendant on the information just as though defendant had been indicted.

Mr. Martins, does defendant understand the right to indictment by a grand jury?

```
9
              MR. MARTINS: Yes, Your Honor.
1
 2
              THE COURT: Is defendant willing to waive that
 3
    right?
 4
              MR. MARTINS: Yes.
              THE COURT: Have you and the board of directors
5
    discussed this decision with defendant's attorney?
6
7
              MR. MARTINS: Yes, we had the discussion,
8
    Your Honor.
9
              THE COURT: Have any threats or promises been made
10
    to induce the defendant to waive its right to indictment by
    grand jury?
11
12
              MR. MARTINS: No, Your Honor.
13
              THE COURT: Is defendant waiving its right to
14
    indictment voluntarily and of its own free will?
15
              MR. MARTINS: Yes, Your Honor.
16
              THE COURT: Mr. O'Neil, are you aware of any reason
17
    that defendant should not waive indictment?
18
              MR. O'NEIL: No, I'm not, Your Honor.
19
              THE COURT: I find that the defendant's waiver of
20
    indictment is known and voluntarily made and I accept the
21
    waiver.
22
              Mr. Martins, would you like me to read the
    information or do you on behalf of the defendant waive reading
23
    of the information?
24
              MR. MARTINS: Your Honor, I can do it and if you
25
```

10 have any difficulty with my accent or my English, please let 1 2 me know. 3 THE COURT: No, I'm asking you if you would like me 4 to read you the information. 5 MR. MARTINS: Oh, sorry. THE COURT: Or whether you are waiving the reading 6 7 of the information. 8 MR. MARTINS: Sorry. 9 THE COURT: Your accent is perfectly fine. 10 understand you clearly. 11 MR. MARTINS: Okay. So if you can, I prefer. Thank 12 you. 13 THE COURT: Counsel, would you like me to read the 14 information or do you waive the reading of the information? 15 MR. O'NEIL: So we're happy to waive reading of the 16 information. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Martins, have you and the 18 board of directors had sufficient time to discuss with your 19 attorneys whether or not to plead guilty? 20 MR. MARTINS: Yes, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Are you and the board of directors fully 22 satisfied with the representation, the counsel and advice 23 given to the defendant in this case by your attorneys? 24 MR. MARTINS: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. O'Neil, have you discussed the 25

11 matter of pleading guilty with the board of directors of the 1 2 defendant? 3 MR. O'NEIL: Through Mr. Martins, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: And does the defendant understand the rights it will be waiving by pleading guilty? 5 6 MR. O'NEIL: Yes, it does, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Have you advised the defendant of the 8 maximum and minimum fine that could be imposed and any other potential penalties including probation? 9 MR. O'NEIL: I have, Your Honor. 10 11 I am now going to discuss with you the THE COURT: 12 rights that the defendant will be waiving by pleading guilty. 13 Mr. Martins, the defendant has a right to continue 14 to plead not guilty. No person or entity can be forced to 15 plead guilty. If defendant persisted in its not guilty plea, 16 it would have a right under the constitution and laws of the 17 United States to a speedy and public trial by a jury. At 18 trial, the defendant would be presumed to be innocent and the 19 government would have to prove the defendant's guilt beyond a 20 reasonable doubt. Defendant would have the right to the 21 assistance of counsel for its defense and would have the right 22 to see and hear all witnesses and have them cross-examined in 23 its defense. The defendant would also have the right to

Do you understand?

24

25

CMH OCR RMR CRR FCRR

compel the attendance of witnesses to testify in its defense.

MR. MARTINS: Yes, Your Honor, we understand.

THE COURT: Should the defendant decide not to put on any evidence at trial, the fact that it did not do so could not be used against it at trial. By entering a plea of guilty and if I accept the defendant's plea, there will be no trial and the defendant will have waived its right to a trial as well as all those rights associated with a trial as I have just described them.

Do you understand?

MR. MARTINS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: There will be no further trial of any kind and no right of appeal from the judgment of guilty. I will simply enter a judgment of guilt on the basis of defendant's guilty plea.

Do you understand?

MR. MARTINS: Yes, Your Honor, we understand.

THE COURT: If defendant pleads guilty, I will have to ask you questions about what the defendant did in order to satisfy myself that the defendant is, in fact, guilty of the charge to which it seeks to plead guilty.

If you answer my questions about the offense under oath on the record and in the presence of counsel, the answers may later be used against you, Mr. Martins, as the representative of the defendant in a prosecution for perjury or false statement.

13 1 Do you understand? 2 MR. MARTINS: Yes, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Mr. Martins, does the defendant 4 understand each and every one of the rights I have explained to you? 5 6 MR. MARTINS: Yes, Your Honor, we understand. 7 THE COURT: Is the defendant willing to give up its 8 right to trial and all those rights I have just discussed with 9 you? 10 MR. MARTINS: Yes, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: I understand that the defendant is 12 pleading guilty pursuant to an agreement with the government. 13 I have been provided the original agreement with several 14 attachments, A through E, and I have caused it to be marked as 15 Court Exhibit 1. 16 Mr. Martins, did you sign the agreement on behalf of 17 the defendant? 18 MR. MARTINS: Yes, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: And is that your signature on page 24 of 20 the agreement? 21 MR. MARTINS: Yes, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Did you and the board of directors 23 discuss the agreement with your attorney before you signed it? 24 MR. MARTINS: We discussed it. The board of 25 directors discussed the agreement with me and I had discussion

```
14
    with my attorney.
1
 2
              THE COURT: And did you and the board of directors
    have sufficient time to review it with counsel? And I
 3
 4
    understand that this was done through you.
              MR. MARTINS: Yes, Your Honor.
5
              THE COURT: Did you have sufficient time?
6
7
              MR. MARTINS: Yes.
8
              THE COURT: Counsel, did you have sufficient time to
9
    review the agreement with your client?
10
              MR. O'NEIL: I did, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Mr. Martins, do you have any questions
11
12
    about the agreement?
13
              MR. MARTINS: No, Your Honor.
14
              THE COURT: Does the agreement represent defendant's
    entire understanding with the government?
15
16
              MR. MARTINS: Yes, Your Honor.
17
              THE COURT: Has anyone made any promise or assurance
18
    that is not in the agreement to persuade the defendant to
19
    accept the agreement?
20
              MR. MARTINS: No, Your Honor.
21
              THE COURT: Has anyone threatened the defendant in
22
    any way to persuade it to accept the agreement?
23
              MR. MARTINS: No, Your Honor.
24
              THE COURT: Mr. O'Neil, were all formal plea offers
25
    from the government conveyed to the defendant?
```

15 MR. O'NEIL: They were, Your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: Mr. Martins, I am now going to discuss 3 the possible consequences of the plea, first, the elements of 4 the offense to which defendant seeks to plead guilty. 5 On pages 2 to 3 of the agreement, it details the elements of the offense of conspiracy to violate the 6 7 anti-bribery provisions of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. 8 Would you like me to review with you the elements of the offense, Mr. Martins? 9 10 MR. MARTINS: No. Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Does the government wish the Court to 12 review the elements? 13 MR. GOPSTEIN: Your Honor, I believe referencing 14 pages 2 through 3 which is in front of all of us is 15 sufficient. Thank you. 16 THE COURT: Mr. Martins, did you and the board review the elements of the offense as specified in the plea 17 18 agreement? 19 MR. MARTINS: Yes, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: And did you discuss those elements with 21 your attorney, the attorney for the defendant? 22 MR. MARTINS: Yes, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: And do you and the board understand the 24 elements of the crime to which the defendant seeks to plead

25

guilty?

MR. MARTINS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I am now going to tell you about the possible penalties for the crime to which defendant will be pleading guilty.

The parties agree that the gross pecuniary gain resulting from the offense is \$178,122,935. The maximum possible fine based on that gain is twice the gross gain which is \$356,245,870. Defendant also faces five years of probation.

Is there any restitution here, Counsel?

MR. GOPSTEIN: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And the defendant faces a special assessment of \$400.

Mr. Martins, does defendant understand those possible consequences of its plea?

MR. MARTINS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Under the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, the United States Sentencing Commission issued guidelines for judges to follow in determining the sentence in a criminal case. These guidelines are advisory and ordinarily, I would consider them along with the particular facts and circumstances of your case and you, meaning the defendant, and all of the sentencing factors set forth in the federal statute 18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a) in determining the appropriate sentence.

17 Have you and the board discussed with the attorneys 1 2 how the advisory sentencing guidelines might apply to your 3 case, Mr. Martins? 4 MR. MARTINS: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: According to the plea agreement and, 5 Mr. Gopstein, why don't you tell me what the specific advisory 6 7 guideline range is. I believe it's contained in paragraph 21 8 of the plea agreement. 9 MR. GOPSTEIN: It is, Your Honor. If I may just 10 turn it over to my colleague Mr. Harper who is going to handle the guidelines and sentencing. 11 12 THE COURT: Sure. 13 Mr. Harper? 14 MR. HARPER: Good morning, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: God morning. 16 MR. HARPER: As indicated in paragraph 21 of the plea agreement --17 18 THE COURT: Can you speak up a little louder? 19 Can you speak a little louder, Mr. Harper? 20 MR. HARPER: Yes, Your Honor. 21 As indicated in paragraph 21 of the plea agreement, 22 the Fraud Section and the Office and the company agree that 23 the application of the United States Sentencing Guidelines is 24 as follows. 25 The total offense level is 44. That is calculated

based on the fact that the base offense level for this violation is 12 and given the fact that multiple bribes were paid in furtherance of this conspiracy and the amount of bribe payments paid exceeded \$150 million and the conspiracy included high level government officials, pursuant to the guidelines, the total offense level is 44.

The culpability score is 8. That is calculated based on the fact that the base score here is 5.

J&F Investimentos has 5,000 or more employees. It is cooperating and accepting responsibility for its conduct. Pursuant to the guidelines, that results in a culpability score of 8.

The guidelines fine range here is calculated based on a base fine of \$178,122,935. The multiplier in this instance, the low end is 1.6, the high end is 3.2, which calculates to a total fine range of \$284,996,696 to a maximum fine of \$569,993,392.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Harper.

Now, Mr. Martins, because of the nature of the plea, if I accept your guilty plea pursuant to the plea agreement, I will not apply the sentencing guidelines in determining your sentence.

Pursuant to paragraph 22 of the agreement with the government, both you and the government have agreed pursuant to Rule B of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure that a

total penalty or fine of \$256,497,026 is an appropriate sentence.

MR. MARTINS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: As the agreement acknowledges, this agreed upon sentence is 10 percent less than the bottom of the applicable advisory guidelines. If I accept your guilty plea, I must impose the agreed upon sentence.

Do you understand, however, Mr. Martins, that if I choose not to follow the terms of this agreement relating to the sentence of defendant, that I will give the defendant an opportunity to withdraw its guilty plea. If defendant chooses to withdraw its guilty plea, it can proceed to trial as it would have had it not chosen to plead guilty, however, if defendant chooses not to withdraw its guilty plea, I may then impose a more severe sentence than provided for in the plea agreement. In other words, I would not be bound by the terms of the plea agreement relating to defendant's sentence.

Do you understand?

MR. MARTINS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The defendant can waive its right to appeal. Does the defendant understand that under some circumstances, it or the government may have the right to appeal any sentence that I impose, Mr. Martins?

MR. MARTINS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: But by entering into this agreement with

the government, the defendant has waived its right to appeal or otherwise challenge its conviction or sentence in this case. Does the defendant understand that?

MR. MARTINS: Yes, we understand, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Now, there are a number of other waivers in the agreement including waiving the right pursuant to Rule 11(f) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and the Federal Rules of Evidence 410.

You have also agreed to cooperate with the government. You also have an obligation to report evidence of allegations of conduct that may be a violation of the FCPA anti-bribery provision and you have also agreed to other reporting requirements as set forth in attachment D to the plea agreement.

Would the government like for the Court to review any other provisions of the agreement?

MR. GOPSTEIN: No, Your Honor. I believe that covers the main obligations under the agreement. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Martins, do you have any questions about the rights that the defendant is giving up, the punishment that the defendant faces --

MR. MARTINS: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- the plea agreement, the nature of the charges, the charge, rather, or anything else relating to this matter?

21 1 MR. MARTINS: No, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Are you prepared to plead guilty on 3 behalf of the defendant? 4 MR. MARTINS: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. O'Neil, do you know of any reason 5 your client should not plead guilty at this time? 6 7 MR. O'NEIL: I don't, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: As a reminder, Mr. Martins, the elements 9 of the offense is set forth in pages 2 through 3 of the plea 10 agreement. What is the defendant's plea to the sole count of 11 12 the information charging it with conspiracy to violate the 13 anti-bribery provisions of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, 14 guilty or not guilty? 15 MR. MARTINS: Guilty. 16 THE COURT: Is the defendant making this plea of guilty voluntarily and of its own free will? 17 18 MR. MARTINS: Yes, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Has anyone threatened or forced the 20 defendant to plead guilty? 21 MR. MARTINS: No, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Other than the agreement with the 23 government, has anyone made any promise that has caused 24 defendant to plead guilty? 25 MR. MARTINS: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Other than as agreed to in the agreement, has anyone made any promise as to what the sentence will be?

MR. MARTINS: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I need to determine that there is a factual basis for the plea so Mr. Martins will have to tell me what the defendant did to make it guilty of the charge in the information.

Counsel, have the parties discussed how to proceed with the factual allocution?

MR. O'NEIL: We have, Your Honor. Mr. Martins has a statement he can make to the court.

THE COURT: Okay. Please proceed, Mr. Martins.

MR. MARTINS: Your Honor, in or about and between 2005 and 2017, JMF, together with others, knowingly and willfully, willfully agreed to violate the FCPA by corruptly promising and paying bribes, bribes that it understood were to and for the benefit of foreign officials in Brazil to secure an improper advantage in order to retain and retain business for J&F, especially to ensure that instrumentalities of the Brazilian government would enter into financing and equity transition, transactions and other transactions benefiting J&F.

In connection with these bribes, J&F used a financial institution based in the United States. Some of,

some of the equity and financial transaction were used to purchase assets in the United States and J&F through its executives took, took acts in further, furtherance of this chain while present in New York.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, I believe you said that there were certain financial institutions in New York that were utilized for purposes of committing the conspiracy. Is that correct?

MR. MARTINS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And that several individuals on behalf of defendant also purchased assets in the U.S., is that correct?

MR. MARTINS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And that some acts were done in furtherance of the conspiracy within the United States, is that correct?

MR. MARTINS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I am going to ask the government with regard to venue, can you tell me whether any of these acts were committed in the Eastern District of New York or is the defendant waiving venue?

MR. GOPSTEIN: Yes, Your Honor.

With regard to venue, there was travel through the Eastern District of New York to a number of meetings where acts were taken in furtherance of the conspiracy. In

24 1 addition, a number of the wires at issue traveled through the 2 Eastern District. I would also add that venue is being waived 3 pursuant to the plea agreement but for the reasons stated, 4 there's sufficient venue in this case as well. 5 THE COURT: And Mr. Gopstein, can you, in sum, tell the Court what your evidence at trial would be if you were to 6 7 proceed to trial in this case, either you or Mr. Harper or 8 McFarlane. 9 MR. GOPSTEIN: Yes, Your Honor. If this case were 10 to proceed to trial, the government's evidence would include 11 e-mails which show J&F executives corresponding and 12 co-conspirators to carry out the scheme, bank records and 13 property showing the bribes, testimony of witnesses with 14 knowledge of the scheme and travel records which show travel 15 into the Eastern, through the Eastern District of New York in 16 furtherance of the scheme. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Martins, pursuant to the plea 18 agreement, you are waiving, the defendant is waiving venue, is that correct? 19 20 MR. MARTINS: Yes, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Does either side believe the Court needs 22 to obtain additional information from Mr. Martins?

MR. GOPSTEIN: Not from the government, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. 0'Neil?

23

24

25

MR. O'NEIL: Nor the defendant, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Based on the information given to me both in writing and in person, and the representations of Mr. Martins and defense counsel as well as the government, I find that the defendant J&F Investimentos SA is aware of the nature of the charge and the consequence of its guilty plea and that the plea of guilty is a knowing and voluntary plea and is supported by an independent basis in fact containing the essential elements of the offense.

I, therefore, accept the plea of guilty of the defendant to the sole count of the information.

Now, I understand that the parties seek to waive the preparation of a presentence report and to proceed immediately to sentencing?

MR. GOPSTEIN: That is correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Ordinarily --

MR. O'NEIL: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- I would go through a guidelines analysis to determine what is an appropriate sentence, but there is no need for me to do that in this case. This is a Rule 11(c)(1)(C) plea and having accepted the defendant's guilty plea pursuant to the agreement, under Rule 11(c)(1)(C), I am bound by the terms of the agreement with respect to the sentence to be imposed to the extent provided for under the agreement.

either side?

MR. O'NEIL: No, Your Honor.

MR. GOPSTEIN: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Martins, on behalf of the defendant, you can appeal the defendant's conviction if you believe that the guilty plea was somehow unlawful or involuntary or if there is some other fundamental defect in the proceedings that was not waived by the defendant's guilty plea.

Under some circumstances, as I stated earlier, a defendant also has the right to appeal its sentence, however, a defendant may waive that right as part of a plea agreement and here, the defendant has entered into a plea agreement which waives its right to appeal or otherwise challenge its conviction or sentence. Such waivers are generally enforceable but if you believe the waiver itself is not valid, the defendant can present that theory to the appellate court.

Any notice of appeal must be filed within 14 days of the filing of the entry of judgment or within 14 days of the filing of a notice of appeal by the government. If requested, the Clerk will prepare and file a notice of appeal on behalf of the company. If the company for any reason cannot afford to pay the cost of an appeal, it certainly can ask for appellate counsel on appeal.

Is there anything else that needs to be resolved today with regard to this matter?

```
28
              MR. GOPSTEIN: Not from the government. Thank you,
1
 2
    Your Honor.
 3
              MR. O'NEIL: Nor the defendant, Your Honor.
              MR. MARTINS: Nor the defendant.
 4
5
               THE COURT: Then that concludes the proceedings
6
    today and we are adjourned.
7
              MR. O'NEIL: Thank you, Your Honor.
8
               THE COURT: Have a good day, everyone.
9
              MR. GOPSTEIN: Thank you, Your Honor.
10
               (Matter concluded.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
    I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the
18
    record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
19
20
        /s/ Charleane M. Heading
                                             October 15, 2020
          CHARLEANE M. HEADING
                                                  DATE
21
22
23
24
25
```